



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/675,645	09/29/2000	Robert W. Faber	42390.P8382X	1711
7590 01/24/2005			EXAMINER	
James H Salter			SONG, HOSUK	
Blakely Sokolo	ff Taylor & Zafman LLP			
7th Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
12400 Wilshire Boulevard			2135	
Los Angeles, C	CA 90025			

DATE MAILED: 01/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Appli	cation No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/67	5,645	FABER ET AL.				
		Exam	iner	Art Unit				
			Song	2135				
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this commun	nication appears or	the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ac	ddress			
THE M Extensi after SI - If the po - If NO po - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN ions of time may be available under the provisions X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum s to reply within the set or extended period for reply oly received by the Office later than three months patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In r munication. 30) days, a reply within the tatutory period will apply a r will, by statute, cause the	to event, however, may a e statutory minimum of thir nd will expire SIX (6) MON e application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered time NTHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) 🛛 F	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 10/4/2004.						
·								
3)□ S	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositio	n of Claims							
5)⊠ C 6)□ C 7)⊠ C	4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 22-27 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2-11 and 13-21 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicatio	n Papers		-					
9)□ TI	he specification is objected to by th	ne Examiner.						
10)□ T	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Д	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority un	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment(s	s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
3) 🛛 Informa	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o No(s)/Mail Date <u>09675645</u> .			s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PT 	'O-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1,12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over lshibashi(US 6,782,476) in view of Sherer et al.(US 6,115,376).

Claims 1,12: Ishibashi patent disclose a video source device and video device cooperatively authenticating and video sink device in (fig.8 and col.3,lines 12-21). Ishibashi does not specifically disclose authenticating a video repeater device with a video source device; authenticating a video sink device with a video repeater device and video repeater device identifying itself as a repeater device to video source device. Sherer patent disclose this limitation in(fig.1 and col.4,lines 5-15,28-32). It would have been obvious to person of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to employ repeater device as taught in Sherer with authentication device disclosed in Ishibashi because repeater decreases distortion by amplifying or regenerating a signal so that it can be transmitted onward in its original strength and form thus preventing error rate.

Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 2-11,13-21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 22-27 are allowed.

Claim 22: Prior art of record does not teach an authentication unit coupled to first and second communication interfaces to authenticate first at least one video sink device and to generate the portions of second and third authentication information of video repeater apparatus and first at least one video sink device to be provided to video source device.

Claims 23-27 are allowed because of dependency.

Response to Applicant's arguments

3. Claims 1-27 are pending. The previous grounds of rejection based on the Yamauchi and Vijeh patents are withdrawn in view of Applicant's arguments in the Amendment filed 10/24/2004. However, newly discovered prior art has necessitated new grounds of rejection. The new grounds of rejection are presented above. The delay in citation of the newly discovered prior art is regretted.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hosuk Song whose telephone number is 571-272-3857. The examiner can normally be reached on Tue-Fri from 6:00 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Vu can be reached on 571-272-3859. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 09/675,645

Art Unit: 2135

Page 4

Holl &

HS